(EEAR) BOILER AND CHILLER STUDY II

AT

FORT SAMHOUSEON

SANANTONIO ALEXAS

VOLUME!

PRE-FINAL



Appropried to The R

Approved for public please

REPORT

US ARMY CORPS

OF ENGINEERS

Fort Worth Division

19971022 107

CONDUCTED BY:

HUITT ZOLLARS, INC.

CONSULTING ENGINEERS
FORT WORTH, TEXAS
10/31/96

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

CONSTRUCTION ENGINEERING RESEARCH LABORATORIES, CORPS OF ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 9005
CHAMPAIGN, ILLINOIS 61826-9005

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF:

TR-I Library

17 Sep 1997

Based on SOW, these Energy Studies are unclassified/unlimited. Distribution A. Approved for public release.

Marie Wakeffeld,

Librarian Engineering



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS	· · · · · · · · iii
I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
A. Introduction	1
B. Buildings Studied	······································
C. Present Energy Consumption	2
Base Year Energy Consumption	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
D. Energy Conservation Opportunity (ECO) Analysis	:-
D. Energy Conservation Opportunity (ECO) Analys	ıs 3
ECOs Resommended	
ECOs Not Personne ded	
ECOs Not Recommended	
E Possemended Maintain 200 di Posi	
E. Recommended Maintenance & Operations Practi	ces
F. Energy and Cost Savings	6
Total Potential Energy and Cost Savings	6
Energy Usage and Costs Before and After.	6
Percentage Saved	6
Table 1: Recommended ECOs	
Table 2: Non-Recommended ECOs	8
II MADDATHE DEDONA	
II. NARRATIVE REPORT	9
A. Entry Interview	9
Work Plan	9
Data List	9
ECO List	9
B. Data Collection	
Building Data	
Central Plant & HVAC Systems Data	
Maintenance and Operations Data	
Recommended Maintenance & Operations 1	Practices
Utility Data	
Replacement Boiler Selection	21
Replacement Chiller Selection	
Funding	23
Programming	
Construction	
DD-1391 Project Funding Forms	
APPENDICES:	
** 1	
Volume I:	DITC OTTAL TOTAL
A (Tab 1). Energy Cost Analysis	DITIC QUALITY INSPECTED 8
B (Tab 2). Recommended ECO Calculations	
C (Tab 3). Non-Recommended ECO Calculati	ons



D (Tab 4).	Scope Of Work & Review Comments
E (Tab 5).	Photographs
F (Tab 6).	Maintenance Program and Sample Products
G (Tab 7).	Maps, Building, and Equipment Data Forms
Volume II:	
H (Tab 8).	Computer Modeling of Building Systems



ABBREVIATIONS

Α Amperes

ACR Air Cooled Reciprocating

AHU Air Handling Unit

American Society of Heating, Refrigeration & Air Conditioning Engineers **ASHRAE**

BTU **British Thermal Unit**

British Thermal Unit per Hour BTUH

CFM **Cubic Feet Per Minute**

CHW **Chilled Water**

CMU Concrete Masonry Unit CW Condenser Water

COE U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

CPS City Public Service DB Dry Bulb Temperature **DCW Domestic Cold Water** DDC **Direct Digital Control DHW Domestic Hot Water**

DPW Directorate of Public Works

DX **Direct Expansion** ECI **Energy Cost Index**

ECO **Energy Conservation Opportunity**

Energy Efficiency Ratio, BTUs per Watt-Hr EER

EMS Energy Management System

EUI **Energy Usage Index** °F Degrees Fahrenheit FCU Fan Coil Unit FSH Fort Sam Houston

FT, ft Feet

GPM, gpm Gallons per Minute HP Horsepower

HRS, hrs hours

HPS High Pressure Steam

HTG Heating

HVAC Heating, Ventilating & Air Conditioning

HW Heating Water HZ Huitt-Zollars, Inc. IAQ Indoor Air Quality

KGAL, kgal Kilogallon KW Kilowatt **KWH**

Kilowatt Hours

LCCID Life Cycle Cost In Design **LPS** Low Pressure Steam

MBH 1,000 BTUH MBTU 1,000 BTUs **MMBTU** 1,000,000 BTUs

MCF 1,000 Cubic Feet (gas) MH

Metal Halide MISC, misc Miscellaneous

M&O Maintenance & Operations

MWH Megawatt Hours

Not Available or Not Applicable N/A

OA Outside Air



RTU Rooftop Unit SZ Single Zone SQFT, sqft Square Feet TON, ton 12,000 BTUH

TON, ton
UPH
USAED

V Volts

VAV Variable Air Volume VFD Variable Frequency Drive

W Watt

WB Wet Bulb Temperature

YR, yr Year



(EEAP) Boiler/Chiller Study II at Fort Sam Houston San Antonio, Texas

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Introduction

This energy conservation study was performed by Huitt-Zollars Inc, for the U.S. Army Engineer District (USAED), Fort Worth, under contract number DACAC63-94-D-0015. The study was conducted at Fort Sam Houston (FSH) in San Antonio, Texas, between September 28, 1995 and May 31, 1996. The site survey, data collection and analysis was performed by John Carter, E.I.T, Chris Pieper, P.E., and M. A. Shafiq, P.E.

The purpose of the study was to perform a limited site survey of specific buildings at the facility, identify specific Energy Conservation Opportunities (ECOs) that exist, and then evaluate these ECOs for technical and economic feasibility. These ECOs were limited to central boiler and chiller plant systems serving specific building groups at FSH.

This study is the second phase of a Boiler/Chiller study completed by Huitt-Zollars, Inc. for The Corp of Engineers on September 18, 1995. In addition to the work that was accomplished in that project, additional buildings for three of the areas analyzed previously and two new areas have been added to the Scope of Work for this phase. Therefore, much of the same data that was gathered for the first phase will again be used in this second phase to identify ECO's.

This survey was conducted with the assistance of many individuals at FSH. Special thanks are extended to all of them, including the following individuals:

David Brigham, Cultural Resources
Mike Brynes, Operations and Maintenance
Frank Carbonell, Engineering Services
Bill Coates, Operations and Maintenance
Guy Cox, Operations and Maintenance
Al Motz, Operations and Maintenance
Gene Rodriguez, Engineering Services

Other individuals who assisted in this study by providing equipment and cost data are listed as follows:

Tom McGreal and John Wright, York International, Dallas, TX John Neal, Jr. and Gary Caffey, Neal and Associates, Dallas, TX Joe Scolaro and Brian Mitchell, Mitchell Technical Sales, Dallas, TX Preston Dickson, Timberlake and Woffard, Inc., Dallas, TX Larry Carpenter, The Trane Company, Fort Worth, TX David Recca, DynaService, Fort Worth, TX Bob Stevens, City Public Service, San Antonio, TX



Any questions concerning this report should be directed to the Project Manager, Michael W. Elliott, P.E., CEM, at Huitt-Zollars Inc., 512 Main Street, Suite 1500, Fort Worth, Texas 76102. Phone 817-335-3000, extension 270.

B. Buildings Studied

This study was performed on five separate groups of buildings at the Fort Sam Houston installation in San Antonio, Texas. These groups were identified as Areas 100, 500, 1000, 1300, and 2200. Buildings in each of these areas are briefly described as follows:

Area 100:

Thirty-eight buildings currently used as office buildings, barracks, and other

miscellaneous usage.

Area 500:

Buildings in this area consisted of three Unaccompanied Personnel Housing (UPH)

barracks.

Area 1000:

Buildings in this area consisted of two office buildings and the Brooke Army

Medical Center.

Area 1300:

Buildings in this area consisted of seven barracks, a dining hall, a theater, a chapel,

a mini-mall and an Administrative office building.

Area 2200:

Buildings in this area consisted of three barracks, a chapel, a theater, the military

police station, and six Administrative office buildings.

C. Present Energy Consumption

Base Year Energy Consumption: The total metered electrical and gas consumption data for twelve consecutive months prior to the study were obtained from the facility and are referred to as "base year". This "base year" data represents the consumption for the entire installation, as well as the buildings in this study. Refer to Figure 1 for a summary of the monthly energy usage data shown on Page 18.

Figure 1. Base Year Energy Usage By Source

		B J	
ENERGY SOURCE	AN	JUAL USAGE	COST \$
Electricity	153,580 MWH	524,169 MMBTU	6,567,101
Natural Gas	405,282 MCF	405,282 MMBTU	1,690,065
Total		929,451 MMBTU	8,257,166

The annual energy consumption for the boiler and chiller systems studied was calculated in Appendix H, using the Trane Trace 600 computer program to model buildings and existing HVAC systems. This consumption amounted to a total of 7.9% of the base energy usage and 7.5% of the energy costs. Refer to Figure 2 for a summary of the boiler and chiller systems energy consumption and demand data.





Figure 2. Annual Boiler and Chiller Energy Consumption Data

AREA	COOLING SYSTEM DEMAND \$7YR	COOLING SYSTEM ELECT. KWHYR	COOLING Systemelect. Syyr	HEATING SYSTEM DEMAND \$/YR	HEATING SYSTEM ELECT. KWHYR	HEATING Systemelect. Syyr	HEATING SYSTEM GAS MCF/YR	HEATING SYSTEM GAS \$/YR
100	53,714	2,055,148	43,980	2,231	91,435	1,957	1,621	7,116
500	15,353	695,522	14,884	378	24,992	535	412	1,426
1000	46,120	3,631,430	77,713	16,517	165,582	3,543	13,652	47,236
1300	92,165	3,337,121	71,414	5,019	228,336	4,886	6,862	23,743
2200	57,276	2,229,966	47,721	6,027	82,596	1,768	4,134	14,304
SUBTOTALS	264,628	11,949,187	255,713	30,172	592,941	12,689	26,681	93,824
ANNUAL BOILER & CHILLER SYSTEM ENERGY		69,487	MMBTUYR					
ANNUAL BOILE	R&CHILLERS	/STEM COST, \$	ΥR	657,025	\$/YR			

D. Energy Conservation Opportunity (ECO) Analysis

ECOs Rejected: After reviewing the data collected at the facility and considering allof the practical limitations involved, there were no potential ECOs which were rejected prior to performing calculations. Therefore, energy savings calculations were performed for all ECOs identified in the scope of work.

ECOs Recommended: Certain ECOs which were identified during the building survey have been evaluated for technical and economic feasibility and are recommended for implementation. Complete documentation of all calculations as well as information required for implementation is included in Appendix B. These recommended ECOs are summarized in order of descending Savings to Investment Ratio (SIR) in Table 1 on page 7.

ECOs Not Recommended: Certain ECOs which were identified during the building survey have been evaluated for technical and economic feasibility but are not recommended for implementation. Complete documentation of all calculations are included in Appendix C. These non-recommended ECOs are summarized in order of order of descending SIR in Table 2 on page 8.

Projects Developed: The Project Manager decided to that each of the two recommended ECOs would be implemented as an individual project. The projects that resulted from this process will be submitted for funding as ECIP projects. The projects are summarized as follows:



Project 1: Replacement of Existing Central Boilers with High Efficiency Modular Boilers (Area 2200) - ECO O.

Electrical Energy Savings	7	MMBTU/yr.	
Electrical Demand Savings	-171	\$/yr.	
Natural Gas Energy Savings	803	MMBTU/yr.	
Energy Cost Savings	2,651	\$/yr.	
Maintenance Savings	36,780	•	
Total Cost Savings	39,431	\$/yr.	
Total Investment	311,340	\$	j
Simple Payback *	7.9	yrs.	218.

Project 2: Retrofit Existing Individual Boilers with Central Boiler Plant (Area 100) - ECO C.

Electrical Energy Savings	57	MMBTU/yr.	
Electrical Demand Savings	1,295	\$/yr.	
Natural Gas Energy Savings	314	MMBTU/yr.	
Energy Cost Savings	3,031	\$/yr.	9
Maintenance Savings	91,980	\$/yr.	- (N ·
Total Cost Savings	95,011	\$/yr.	31h.
Total Investment	945,482	\$	
Simple Payback	9.95	yrs.	



E. Recommended Maintenance & Operations Practices

The following maintenance and operations (M&O) practices are recommended to help conserve boiler and chiller plant energy at FSH.

- The Energy Coordinator and the FSH Director of Public Works should develop a master plan specification for all future central boiler and chiller plant maintenance and renovation projects.
- 2. All facility project managers, as well as any central plant maintenance contractors should be required to follow this specification.
- 3. The Energy Coordinator should review all new central boiler and chiller plant designs to check for compliance with the specifications. This would include primary equipment that is selected and designed to run at the optimum efficiency points based upon the percentage of full load.
- 4. The Energy Coordinator should attend training seminars for building energy conservation.
- 5. The installation should increase the size of their current maintenance staff by adding trained HVAC technicians.
- 6. The installation should provide technical training for it's current HVAC staff, especially in the area of HVAC controls.
- 7. Revise the current HVAC preventative maintenance program as needed to improve the overall condition of the existing systems and equipment. This includes the piping distribution systems and any leaks caused by age or wear and tear. The Energy Coordinator should be involved in this process to ensure that energy conservation concerns are addressed.
- 8. Add status, alarm, start and stop capabilities for all central boiler and chiller systems and auxiliaries to the post's existing building automation system. This will allow the maintenance staff to have better monitoring and control capabilities.
- 9. Develop a boiler maintenance program that would include, as a minimum, annual tube cleaning, annual or semi-annual burner tuning, and monthly flue stack temperature measurements. The Energy Coordinator should be involved in this process to ensure that energy conservation concerns are addressed.
- 10. Setback temperatures or shut-off equipment of areas that are unoccupied during the day. In many buildings, especially in Areas 100 and 2200, the air handling systems can be completely shut-off during unoccupied periods without the risk of having a large start-up load which happens in large spaces and buildings.
- 11. Repair all building temperature controls.
- 12. Repair all building air systems, cleaning coils and looking for leaks or other sources of inefficiencies within the secondary HVAC systems.



F. Energy and Cost Savings

Total Potential Energy and Cost Savings. The energy and cost savings as a result of the implementation of the ECIP projects was calculated as follows:

Electrical Energy Savings	64	MMBTU/yr.
Electrical Demand Savings	1,124	\$/yr.
Natural Gas Energy Savings	1,117	MMBTU/yr.
Energy Cost Savings	5,682	\$/yr.
Maintenance Savings	128,760	\$/yr. \qquad \qqquad \qqquad \qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq
Total Cost Savings	134,442	\$/yr. \\[\] = .
Total Investment '	1,256,822	
Simple Payback	9.3	yrs.

Energy Usage and Costs Before and After. Based on the base year electrical and gas energy consumption and cost data, and the potential savings calculated above, the FSH energy usage and costs before and after implementation of the ECIP project is as follows:

	<u>Before</u>	<u>After</u>
Electrical	153,580 MWH	153,561 MWH
Natural Gas	405,282 MCF	404,165 MCF
Total Cost	\$8,257,166	\$8,250,736

Percentage Saved. Based on the base year electrical and gas energy consumption and cost data, the percentage of savings from the implementation of the ECIP projects is as follows:

Electrical Energy Saved =
$$\left[\frac{18.75 \ MWH}{153,580 \ MWH} \right] = 0.012\%$$

Natural Gas Energy Savings =
$$\left[\frac{1,117 \ MCF}{405,282 \ MCF}\right]$$
 = 0.28%

Energy Cost Savings =
$$\left[\frac{\$6,430}{\$8,257,166}\right] = 0.078\%$$



					_	
	SIR		1.92		1.48	
	Simple Payback Yrs		7.9		0.0	9.3
ENDED	Total Simple Investment Payback SIR		311,340		- 1	1,256,821
COMMI	Total Cost Savings \$/yr		39,431		ı	134,442
Os) RE	Maint. Cost Savings \$fyr		36,780		91,980	128,760
IES (EC	Total Energy Savings MMBTU/yr		796		371	1,167
RTUNIT	Gas Energy Savings MMBTU/yr		803		314	1,117
OPPO	Electrical Demand Savings \$/yr		-171-		1,295	1,124
VATION	Electrical Energy Savings MMBTU/yr				57	64
TABLE 1. ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES (ECOs) RECOMMENDED	Description	A DEA 2200	Renlace Existing Boilers With High Efficiency Modular Boilers	AREA 100	C Retroff Existing Individual Boilers With Central Boiler Plant	Totals
	ECO		C	,	C	,

Suppose



	SIR	1.18	1.17	0.83	0.83	0.80	0.71	0.66	0.65	0.62	0.51	0.50	0.36	0.28	
<u></u>	Simple Payback Yrs	12.7	13.0	18.0	18.1	18.9	21.8	22.8	23.2	24.6	29.6	30.0	43.7	52.8	31.8
MENDE	Total Investment \$	480,090	1,441,745	1,646,927	186,539	1,040,094	484,544	164,799	278,393	928,408	889,460	516,826	970,739	266,445	3,571,878
RECOM	Total Cost Savings \$/yr	37,885	95,249	91,649	10,323	55,110	22,265	7,240	12,024	37,738	30,102	17,250	22,194	5,049	112,333
) NOT	Maint. Cost Savings \$fyr	27,480	20,243	26,773	10,380	8,005	15,180	7,980	0	0	٥	9,080	0	0	080'6
S (ECOs	Total Energy Savings MMBTU/yr	1,016	4,393	4,350	52	3,050	1,406	-30	1,996	3,876	5,126	705	4,020	838	14,565
UNITIE	Gas Energy Savings MMBTU/yr	0	0	0	80	0	1,064	62	39	691	124	o	915	<u>4</u> 6	1,636
PPORT	Electrical Demand Savings \$/yr	3,865	43,719	37,558	-158	25,570	1,256	-329	401	-2,523	-1,740	3,743	-471	149	-842
TION O	Electrical Energy Savings MMBTU/yr	1,016	4,393	4,350	-28	3,050	342	-109	1,957	5,928	5,002	705	3,105	832	15,572
TABLE 2. ENERGY CONSERVATION OPPORTUNITIES (ECOs) NOT RECOMMENDED	Description	AREA 1000 Retrofit Existing Individual Chillers	AREA 1300 Replace Existing Individual Chillers	AREA 100 Retroft Existing Individual Chillers With Central Chiller Plant	AREA 1000 Retrofit Existing Individual Boilers	AREA 2200 Replace Existing Chillers With Central Chillers	AREA 1300 Retrofit Existing Individual Boilers	AREA 500 Retrofit Existing Individual Boilers	AREA 500 Install Energy Management (EMS) for HVAC System	AREA 100 Install Energy Management (EMS) for HVAC System	AREA 2200 Install Energy Management (EMS) for HVAC System	AREA 500 Retrofit Existing Individual Chillers	AREA 1300	AREA 1000	Install Energy Management (EMS) for nync cystem. Totals
	ECO	I			1	2							1		ပ